

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/804,087	03/19/2004	Juha R. Vallinen	059864.01120	7034
	7590 05/26/200 DERS & DEMPSEY I	EXAMINER		
8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE			FRENEL, VANEL	
14TH FLOOR VIENNA, VA	22182-6212	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		3687		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/804,087	VALLINEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
VANEL FRENEL	3687		

	VAINEL FRENEL	3007					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to 	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL.	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t	filed within two months	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t 			cause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further core (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 		E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet		lucina or eimplifyina t	ne iceues for				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially rec	rucing or annipinying to	10 133003 101				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the				
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) objected to: None.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2.5-10.17-20.27 and 28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>None</u> .							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:							
							
	/Vanel Frenel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3687						

Continuation of 7: Applicant's arguments filed on 5/06/09 have been considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argumes the followings: a) The withdrawal of the 101 rejection. b) Young, Brown and Egendorf fail to disclose all of the elements of the claims such as: "communicating at least one message between the at least two parties regarding a principle in the payment information", and "wherein communicating the at least one message between the at least two parties comprises agreeing, between the at least two parties, to an occurrence that unambiguously defines a party who is responsible for paying for use of the service", as rection part, in independent claim 1 and similarly in independent claims 17, 27 and 28. c) There is no disclosure of any payment information being agreed to during the exchange of a particular message between the two parties.

- B) With respect to Applicant's first argument, the Examiner respectfully submitted that the 10 trejection regarding the claims are still remaining non-staturory because the invention is directed to a non-staturory subject matter and there is no residuo of a machine or an apparatus that performed the steps. Here, the state of the law with respect to a statutory subject matter eligibility under 10 is evolving and is presently an issue in several cases under appeal at the Federal Circuit with regard to process claims. As presently understood, claims 1-2, 5-6, and 8-9 recite a process comprising the steps of 'initiating, verifying, generating and changing'. Based on Supreme Court precedent, a proper process must be tied to another statutory class or transform underlying subject matter to a different state or thing (Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parkenv. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cothrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780, 787-88 (1876). Since neither of these requirements is met by the claim, thendo is not considered a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. 101. To qualify as a statutory process, the claim should positively reciting the subject matter that is being transformed, for example by identifying the material that is being changed to a different state. Therefore, Applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is hereby sustained.
- (C) With respect to Applicant's second and third arguments, the Examiner respectfully submitted that He relied upon the teaching of Brown (See Page 2, Paragraph 0025-0027; Page 3, Paragraph 0025-0015) which correspond to Applicant's claimed feature. Therefore, Applicant argument is not persuasive and the rejection is hereby sustained.

Continuation of 11: Applicant's request for consideration does Not place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks fail to consider the full teachings of the applied references in the manner discussed in the prior Office Action. Others arguments presented appear to rehash issues addressed in the Final Reliccion of the 04/29/09.